PERGAMON

Studies in Educational Evaluation 26 (2000) V

Studies in Educational Evaluation

www.elsevier.nl/stueduc

AUTHOR INDEX

Alkin M., 1

Baumert Jü., 143
Beijaard D., 47
Bos K., 269
Burns C. W., 131

Campbell R. J., 109
Christie C. A., 1
Christou C., 379

Donoughue C., 79
Driel J. V., 47

Fung A. C.W., 11

Garden R., 217
Gonnie van Amelsvoort H. W. C., 79

Hansen K.-H., 399
Hare D., 353
Harmon M., 173, 243, 263
Harris S., 287
Hosenfeld I., 143

Jakwerth P., 277
de Jong F. P.C.M., 63

Kind P. M., 179
Köller O., 143
Kuiper W., 269
Kyriakides L., 109

Lazonder A. W., 63
Lokan J., 297

Paleckova J., 265
Pepper K., 353
Philippou G., 379
Plomp T., 269

Scheerens J., 79
Schleyer E. J., 33
Stuebeam D., 325

Tamir P., 283

Verloop N., 47
Visscher A. J., 11

Wild P., 11
Wolming S., 335

Yemisi Erinosho S., 163

Zeidner M., 33
Zuzovsky R., 173, 195, 283, 315

0191-491X/00/$ - see front matter © 2000 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
PII: S0191-491X(00)00008-0

PERGAMON

Studies in Educational Evaluation 26 (2000) VII–X

Studies in Educational Evaluation

www.elsevier.nl/stueduc

SUBJECT INDEX

Ability, higher education in Sweden 335–349
Additive cluster analysis, student interest in science 403
Alternative assessment, practical performance in science 100
Anxiety, gifted students 36, 40, 41
Assessment of Performance Unit 175
  model of scientific problem solving activity 181, 182
Australia, TIMSS performance assessment 300, 310
Authenticity, science teaching 198
  assessment 199

Baseline assessment in mathematics, primary teachers' perceptions 109–130
BIJU–study 148–151
Block program 358, 361–375

Calculators, TIMSS 235, 247–249, 270, 279
Computer–assisted management information systems, implementation in Hong Kong schools 11–30
Conceptions, mathematics, teachers' 379–396
Constructivism, science education 197
Cross–cultural study, teachers' conceptions of mathematics 381–396
Culture, teachers' conceptions of mathematics 381–396
Curricular flexibility, local labour markets 64
Curriculum frameworks, performance assessment in TIMSS 219, 234, 254, 272
Cyprus, baseline assessment in mathematics 109–130
Czech Republic, TIMSS performance assessment 263, 265–268

Decentralization, evaluation function 82, 87
Decision–making, school evaluation in European countries 86
Doing science 197

England, TIMSS performance assessment 264, 287–295
England/Wales, school evaluation 87–106
  contextual constraints and stimulants 91
  current practices 94
  decision making structures 86
  self–evaluation programs 96
Equity, TIMSS performance assessment 297–312
Ethics
  evaluation misutilization 1–8
  release of evaluation findings 331
Evaluation agreement, release of findings 325–333
Evaluation function, decentralized systems 82
External school evaluation 85, 90, 102
  OfSTED 88

Facet analysis, student interest in science 401
Fairness, performance assessment 299
Field Based Assessment Instrument 359, 368
Fluid intelligence, sex differences 148–150
Formative assessment, baseline, mathematics 113, 119

Gender
  interest in science 163–170, 404–409, 411
  mathematics achievement, Germany, TIMSS 143–158
  TIMSS performance assessment 251–254, 271, 281, 298–299, 303–306
Germany, gender and mathematics achievement 143–158
Gesamtschule 146
Gifted students, educational setting and psychological adjustment 33–43
Grade Point Average, higher education selection 336, 338–349
Gymnasium 146

Head teachers, school self–evaluation 99, 103
Higher education
  teaching portfolios 131–139
  validity of selection, Sweden 335–349

0191-491X/00/$ - see front matter © 2000 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
PII: S0191-491X(00)00009-2

Holistic approach to science 198
Hong Kong, School Administration and Management System 11–30

INSET, baseline assessment 122
Intentionality, misutilization 2
Interest, science education 163–170, 399–412
Internal school evaluation 85, 90, 102
Israel, TIMSS performance assessment 207–211, 264, 283–286, 316
Italy, school evaluation 87–106
  contextual constraints and stimulants 91–94
  current practices 94–96
  decision making structures 87–90
  external/internal evaluation 102
  self–evaluation programs 96

Japan, achievement in mathematics 382

Knowledge–based approach to science, assessment 198–200
Knowledge, teachers' practical, story–line methodology 47–60

LACORD 409–411
League tables 89, 105
Learning activities, student interest in science 400–412
Learning gap 381

Mathematics
  baseline assessment, primary teachers' perceptions 109–130
  TIMSS performance assessment 256
  Australia 303
  Czech Republic 267
  England 292–295
  Germany, gender 143–158
  Israel 283–286
  Netherlands 269–275
  task development 229–231, 235
  teachers' conceptions 379–396
  United States 277–281
Median Polishing Analysis, conceptions of mathematics 386–393
Messsick's Facets of Validity Framework 337, 343, 344
Misevaluation 6–8
Mississippi State University Block Program 353, 358, 361–375
Mississippi Teacher Assessment Instrument 367–369
Misutilization 1–8
Motivation, teachers' 53
Multidimensional scaling procedure
  higher education selection 342
  student interest in science 402, 405–407, 411
Multiple choice, TIMSS, equity 298

Narrative research, teachers' practical knowledge 47
National assessments 88, 89
National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) 356, 358–371
National curriculum 92
Netherlands
  school evaluation 87–106
  contextual constraints and stimulants 91–94
  current practices 94–96
  decision maling structures 87, 89–90
  external/internal evaluation 102
  self–evaluating programs 96
  TIMSS performance assessment 263, 269–275
  transition in secondary vocational education 63–76
Nigeria, gender differences in interests and performance in science 163–170
Norway, performance assessment in science 184–192

OfSTED 88, 89, 95, 105
Organic Law 91

Pedagogy, teachers' conceptions of mathematics 379–396
Peer review of teaching 131–139
  SISS 201–203, 207
  TIMSS 173–178, 179–192, 195–211
  Australia 300
  Czech Republic 265–268
  development of tasks 217–238
  England 287–295
  equity issues 297–312
  international perspective 243–260
  Israel 195–211, 283–286, 316
  national profiles, overview 263, 264
  Netherlands 269–275
  Norway 185–187
  scoring system 315–322
  United States 277–281
Personnel Evaluation Standards 139
Planning, science investigation 188
Plasticine, TIMSS 229, 256, 271, 273, 275, 279, 284, 285, 292–295, 318
Portfolios, teaching 131–139
Practical knowledge, teachers', story–line methodology 47–60
Practical performance
  SISS 201, 207
  TIMSS 184–192, 203
  change in emphasis 195–200

development of tasks 217–238
Israel 195–211, 283–286
scoring system 315–322
Predictive validity, higher education selection, Sweden 335–349
Primary teachers, perceptions of baseline assessment in mathematics 109–130
Problem solving, science 180
Procedural and Conceptual Knowledge in Science Projects (PACKS) 175, 182, 183
Process–oriented approach, science education 196
Psychosocial adjustment, gifted students 34, 40
Publication of evaluation findings 325–333
Pull–out programs, gifted students 38

Qualification structure, transition in vocational education 64–76

Reporting of evaluation findings 325–333
Research–based knowledge, teacher education programs 353–375

Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), higher education in Sweden 338–349
School Administration and Management System (SAMS), implementation in Hong Kong schools 11–30
School evaluation
balance between external and internal evaluation 102
centralisation/decentralisation 82, 87
European countries 86–106
contextual constraints and stimulants 91
current practices 94
decision making structures 86
programs 96
political and organisational context 80
self–evaluation 83–85, 99
Science
gender differences in interests and performance, Nigeria 163–170
SISS performance assessment 201–203, 207
student interest 399–412
TIMSS performance assessment 184–192, 203–211
Australia 302
changes in emphasis 195–200
Czech Republic 2660268
development of tasks 217–238
England 264, 287–295
equity issues 297–312
gender differences 251–254, 298–299, 303–306
international perspective 243–260
Israel 195–211, 283–286, 316
Netherlands 269–275
Norway 185–187
United States 277–281
Second International Science Study (SISS), practical assessment 201–203, 207
Selection, higher education in Sweden 335–349
Self–concept, gifted students 35, 39, 41
Self–evaluation, schools 83–85, 90, 96–106
Senior Block Field Experience Program 353, 358, 361–375
Shadows, TIMSS 229, 234, 235, 249–251, 270, 273, 275, 279, 284, 285, 318, 322
Skill, planning an investigation 188
Skill–based approach to science 197–200
Social labeling, giftedness 34, 40
Socioeducational background, TIMSS performance assessment 308, 309
Solutions, TIMSS 236, 275, 279, 285, 287–292
Spain, school evaluation 87–106
contextual constraints and stimulants 91–94
current practices 94–96
decision making structures 87–90
external/internal evaluation 102
self–evaluation programs 96
Special needs children, early identification 111
Stakeholders, release of evaluation findings 326
Stake's Countenance Model of Educational Evaluation 357, 359, 360
Story–line methodology, research on teachers' practical knowledge 47–60
Student interest, science 399–412
Student Teaching Expectancies 368
Substitution hypotheses 88–90
Sweden, validity of higher education selection 335–349
SweSAT scores 338–349

Teacher education, evaluation model of research–based knowledge 353–375
Teacher evaluation 95
Teachers
conceptions of mathematics, TIMSS 379–396
peer review 131–139
perceptions of baseline assessment in mathematics 109–130
practical knowledge, story–line methodology 47–60
school self–evaluation 100
Teaching portfolios 131–139
The Program Evaluation Standards, release of evaluation findings 325–333
Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS)
Performance Assessment 173–178, 184–192, 203–211
Australia 300
coding scheme 223

Cyprus, mathematics 110, 112
Czech Republic 265–268
development of tasks 217–239
England 287–295
equity issues 297–312
field trial 226, 228
gender differences 143, 151–158, 251–254, 2980299
international percpective 243–260
Israel 195–211, 283–286, 316
national profiles, overview 263–264
Netherlands 269–275
Norway 185–187
scoring system 315–322
United States 277–281
teachers' conceptions of mathematics 379, 383–396
Tracking, Germany, mathematics achievement 146
Training modes, school–work transition 65
Transition, school–work 63–76
United States, TIMSS performance assessment 263, 277–281
University selection, Sweden 335–349
Utilization of evaluation 1

Validity, higher education selection in Sweden 335–349
Verbal skills
sex differenxes 155
TIMSS performance assessment 307, 308
Vocational education, transition, Netherlands 63–76

Weighted MDS
higher education selection 342
student interest in science 402, 405–407, 411
WINMIRA 409–411
Word knowledge, TIMSS 300, 309

UMI

SHOULD READ VOLUME 25, 1999.